The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the inform

Case 3:12 cv-04365-RK Document 1 Filed 07/31/12 Page 1 pf 3 (S

ined herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	12	4300
EVELYN PEVARNIK		ACCOUNT CO	NTROL SYSTEMS	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name		NOTE: IN LAND LAND Attorneys (If Known) tboro, III. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, USINVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		l Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	incipal Place 🛛 4 🖂 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	① 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		2	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/BENALTY	BANKRUPICY	OTHERSTATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 345 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage	1	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 430 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 10 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
Sa\1 Original □ 2 Re	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened another (speci		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 15 USC 1692, et seq. Brief description of cause:	te tring (no not cite latismetion)	at statutes unless diversity);	
VII DEQUECTED IN	Abusive and Unlawful Debt Colle		CHECK VEC L.	if demanded in complaint:
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	V DEMAND 5	JURY DEMAND:	1.1
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	U
7/30/12	SIGNATURE OF AT	TODNEY OF RECORD		JUL 3 1 2012
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #A	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	·

Case 2:12-cv-04365-RK Document 1 Filed 07/31/12 Page 22f 7

OR THE EASTERN DISTRICT TO PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

assignment to appropriate lesis.				
	arnik, 130 Auction Barn Rd., Lat			
Address of Defendant: Account Co.	ntrol Systems, 148 Veterans Dr	Ste D,Northvale NJ 0764	7-2311	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transacti	on:Latrobe PA 15650			
	(Use Reverse Side Fo	r Additional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongove	rnmental corporate party with any parent corporation	_	- 1	re of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure S	Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1	(a)) Yes		
Does this case involve multidistrict litig	ation possibilities?	Yes	ı , ⁄₀⊠)	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		m cm to ch		
Case Number:	Judge	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes	is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property includ	ed in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	• •		
		= ==	_{No} ⊠	
2. Does this case involve the same issue action in this court?	e of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a price	or suit pending or within one year previ	iously terminated	
		Yes	J _{No} ⊠	
3. Does this case involve the validity or	infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlie	er numbered case pending or within on	e year previously	,
terminated action in this court?		Yes	J _{No} ⊠	
4. Is this case a second or successive ha	abeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil ri	ghts case filed by the same individual?		
		Yes		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY	ONI V			
A. Federal Question Cases:	ONL1)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Co	ases:	
	ne Contract, and All Other Contracts	 □ Insurance Contra 	ct and Other	Contracts
2. □ FELA		2. Airplane Persona	d Injury	
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	y	3. Assault, Defamate	tion	
4. ☐ Antitrust		4. Marine Personal	Injury	
5. □ Patent		5. Motor Vehicle P	ersonal Injury	r
6. □ Labor-Management Rela	tions	6. □	Other Person	nal Injury (Please
		speci	fy)	
7. Civil Rights		7. Products Liabilit	y	
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus		8. Products Liabilit	y — Asbestos	3
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases		9. □ All other Diversi	ty Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review C	ases 15 USC, et seg		(Please specify	<i>'</i>)
11. All other Federal Question	• •	Oebt Collection		
(Please specify)	ARBITRATION CER	PETETCATION		
1	(Check Appropriate, counsel of record do hereby ce	Category)		
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2	, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge a	•	his civil action ca	ase exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and confidence Relief other than monetary damage.				
DATE:				_
	Attorney-at-Law		Attorney I.D.#	JUL 3 1 2012
N	OTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if	there has been compliance with F.R.C.	P. 38.	JOI OF
I certify that, to my knowledge, the w except as noted above.	ithin case is not related to any case now pending	or within one year previously termin	ated action in th	is court
DATE: 8-1-2012	Jason M Rettig	PA	BAR: 2009	48
	Attorney-at-Law	A	ttomey LD#	



EVELYN PEVARNIK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

v.		: :	,	12	4 3	36	5
ACCOUNT CONTROL SYS	TEMS, INC.	: :	NO.				
In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Casefiling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the explaintion, that defendant shall other part to which that defendant believed.	e Management a copy on all devent that a defendall, with its firsties, a Case Man	Frack Designa fendants. (See ndant does no t appearance, lagement Trac	tion Form in all civil § 1:03 of the plan set t agree with the plai submit to the clerk of k Designation Form	cases at the forth on the ntiff regardiff court and s	time of reversing sai	of se d n	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CA	ASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28	U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.		()	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()							
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()							
(d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(e) Special Management – Ca commonly referred to as of the court. (See reverse side management cases.)	complex and that	at need special	or intense managem	ent by	()	
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.							
7/30/12 Date	Jason K Attorney-a		EVely/ Attorney	n Pev	(Qrn	JK	
(267)879-9054	(866) 385-1	408	rettiglaw@y	ahoo.com		-	
<u> </u>	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Ac	ldress			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

CIVIL ACTION

Case 2:12-cv-04365-RK Document 1 Filed 07/31/12 Page 4 of 7

1350



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EVELYN PEVARNIK,)	
Plaintiff,)))	12 4365
v.) No.	*
ACCOUNT CONTROL SYSTEMS, INC.,)	FILED JUL 3 1 2012
Defendant.)	MICHAEL KUNDON

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

EVELYN PEVARNIK (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, alleges the following against ACCOUNT CONTROL SYSTEMS, INC. (Defendant):

INTRODUCTION

Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the State of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).



PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Latrobe, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).
- 7. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6) and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendant is a national corporation with a business office located in Northvale, New Jersey.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.
- 10. Defendant is a collection agency that in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of itself or others, engages in debt collection.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. In May 2012, Defendant placed at least one collection call to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for a debt.
- 12. Plaintiff's alleged debt owed arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 13. Defendant called Plaintiff's telephone number at XXX-XXX-8251.
- 14. In May 2012, Defendant called Plaintiff and left a voicemail message on Plaintiff's answering machine. *See* Exhibit A.
- 15. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative, "Mr. Gray" failed to meaningfully disclose the company's name or the nature of the call. *See* Exhibit A.

- 16. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative, "Mr. Gray", failed to state that the call was from a debt collector. *See* Exhibit A.
- 17. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative, "Mr. Gray", directed Plaintiff to call him back at 201-367-1608, file number A07819, which is a number that belongs to Defendant. See Exhibit A.
- 18. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative, "Mr. Gray", threatened Plaintiff with legal action and implied that Defendant was affiliated with the State of Pennsylvania by stating that Defendant was "scheduled to sign off on the final paperwork, regarding you and this matter and to expedite all documentation to the state of Pennsylvania both in Harrisburg as well as locally in Latrobe". *See* Exhibit A.
- 19. Defendant, and Defendant's representative, "Mr. Gray", are not affiliated with the State of Pennsylvania and to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge have not filed paperwork with the State of Pennsylvania in Harrisburg or Latrobe.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 20. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d(6) of the FDCPA through the placement of calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity;
 - c. Defendant violated § 1692e of the FDCPA through the use of false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt;
 - d. Defendant violated § 1692e(1) of the FDCPA through the false PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

representation and implication that the debt collector is affiliated with the State of Pennsylvania;

- e. Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA threatening to take legal action against Plaintiff even though Defendant did not intend to take such action;
- f. Defendant violated § 1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt; and
- g. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA through the failure to state in communications with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt that the communication is from a debt collector and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, EVELYN PEVARNIK, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, ACCOUNT CONTROL SYSTEMS, INC., for the following:

- 21. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 22. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15

 U.S.C. 1692k
- 23. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Jason M Rettig, Esquire 100 W Monument Ave

Hatboro, PA 19040 Phone :(267) 879-9054

E-mail: rettiglaw@yahoo.com

Attorney for Plaintiff